

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,255	ZAUDERER, BERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Andrew Calderon, applicant's attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2007
Time: 1:35 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


 Rejection(s) discussed:
none

 Claims discussed:
pending

 Prior art documents discussed:
US 2002/0061270 A1
Part II.

 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Various amendments to the pending claims were discussed, resulting in a mutually acceptable Examiner's Amendment which was authorized for entry by the attorney; please see the official Examiner's Amendment for specific details. The examiner considers that the sequential operation of the processes at the claimed temperature ranges/values distinguish the applicants' claims from US 2002/0061270 A1..